ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble JUSTICE RANJIT KUMAR BAG

& The Hon'ble DR. SUBESH KUMAR DAS

Case No - <u>OA 840 OF 2013</u>

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<u>15</u> 10.05.2018	For the Applicants : Mrs. M. Dhar Chowdhury, Learned Advocate. For the Respondents : Mr. S.N. Ray, Learned Advocate.	
	The applicants participated in the selection process for recruitment to the post of Constable in Kolkata Police in response to the advertisement published in the Newspaper on January 20, 2012. The contention of the applicants is that they were qualified in physical measurement test and physical efficiency test and appeared in the written examination and also in the interview held in the month of February, 2013. The further contention of the applicants is that they have not been given any appointment in the post of Constable of Police, though one Abhedananda Mondal who was not in the merit list was given appointment in the post of Constable. On consideration of information furnished under the provisions of Right to Information Act, 2005, we find that the last selected candidate from the merit list obtained total 72.25 marks and Abhedananda Mondal obtained 72 marks in the general category. Reply submitted on behalf of the state respondents indicates that	

PIJUS MANDAL + 5 OTHERS Vs The State of West Bengal & Ors.

ORDER SHEET

PIJUS MANDAL + 5 OTHERS

Form No.

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

....

Case No. <u>OA 840 OF 2013</u>

-

	Abhedananda Mondal was in the wait	list and he got the	
	appointment when a few candidates in	the merit list did	
	not join after receiving appointment. O	n perusal of result	
	of the present applicants, we find that the	he applicants have	
	obtained total marks which varied from	58 to 69, which is	
	far below the marks obtained by last	selected candidate	
	from the initial merit list and also from	the candidate like	
	Abhedananda Mondal whose name app		
	list.		
	In view of our above findings, we do	not find any merit	
	in the present application. As a r	esult, the present	
	application is dismissed.		
	Let a plain copy of this order be		
	parties.		
	(S.K.DAS)	(R.K.BAG)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
H.S			